

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Norfolk Division

DOUGLAS I. HORNSBY, Administrator  
of the Estate of CYNTHIA GARY,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff,

and

METRO MACHINE CORP., d/b/a  
GENERAL DYNAMICS NASSCO - Norfolk,

and

ADVANCED INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Third-Party Defendants.

CASE NO.:

2:22cv427

DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF  
LCDR AARON GETTY  
TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

CONDUCTED VIRTUALLY VIA ZOOM

July 22, 2024, 10:00 A.M.

Reported by: Suzanne M. Myers, RMR

DELLORO-MCDANIEL  
COURT REPORTING SERVICES  
Suzanne@delldmcdaniel.com

1 Q. The prime contractor, would that be NASSCO?

2 A. Yes, in this case, it would be.

3 Q. Do you know why -- why the blow-in door was  
4 tagged out in the open position on March 15th?

5 A. It was in support of changing the gasket,  
6 changing the gasket of all blow-in doors out, to support the  
7 work item that was in the package, in the work package.

8 Q. Do you know when the tag-out happened?

9 A. Specifically, I do not. I know it was weeks  
10 before, but I'm not sure the date.

11 Q. That was my question, I guess. It happened  
12 before March 15th, not on March 15th?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. What was your role in the tag-out process?

15 A. Speaking to what?

16 Q. Well, I don't know anything about the tag-out  
17 process, so I will -- you know, the -- all right.

18 So let's say the contractor said: You have to do  
19 this work, and the door has to be tagged out.

20 Does that request come to you, or does it go to  
21 somebody else?

22 A. So I actually don't understand the question.

23 Are we talking the tag-out process specifically  
24 for these -- this work item for the No. 2 generator blow-in  
25 door or a tag-out in the sense of the overall entity of the